

# Michael Brace

Call: 1991  
brace@12kbw.co.uk



## AREAS OF EXPERTISE

Industrial Disease, Personal Injury, Clinical Negligence, Fraud, Inquests, Mediation

Michael specialises in high value and complex personal injury claims and is recognised as a leading junior: In the current edition of Chambers & Partners he is described as “detail-oriented and client-friendly” and as providing “very clear and detailed advice” whilst the current edition of the Legal 500 notes he “*is able to advise on a range of cases to include more novel cases such as hypersensitivity pneumonitis claims.*”

Michael's practice focuses on:

- Catastrophic injuries and fatalities
- Brain injuries
- Complex orthopaedic and amputation cases
- Psychiatric conditions

Michael's practice is UK-wide. He acts for both claimants and defendants and receives instructions from leading solicitors and major insurers. He has particular experience in dealing with complex and contested medical and engineering evidence.

Michael was one of the Attorney General's Junior Counsel to the Crown from 2000 – 2007 and a “Panel Counsel to the Welsh Assembly Government” from 2000-2007.

## Mediation

---

Michael is an ADR Group Accredited Mediator with experience of mediating in a wide variety of claims.

## Qualifications & Awards

---

King's College, University of London LLB

ADR Group Accredited Mediator

Pupil Supervisor

## Appointments & Memberships

---

Junior Counsel to the Crown (Provincial Panel) [2000 – 2007]

Panel Counsel to the Welsh Assembly Government ([2000-2007]

PIBA

AvMA

## Cases

---

*H v S (High Court/QBD)* – Acted for the child Claimant in relation to hypoxic insult following failure to ensure caesarean section at a sufficiently early stage. Four limb cerebral palsy with developmental delay and epilepsy. Interim payments in excess of £1,000,000 obtained (no QC). Settled at JSM (first involvement of QC) for capitalised sum of £2.5million together with periodical payments of £93,000 rising in stages to £245,500 per annum.

*H & H v C (County Court)* – Successful Clinical negligence claim on behalf of 2 child Claimant's in relation to failure by the Defendant trust to prevent their mother's fatal heart-attack. Claim for "nervous shock". Issues in relation to what constitutes a single shocking event.

*N v C (County Court)* – Clinical negligence claim acted for the Defendant. Alleged negligent insertion of intrathecal catheter and pump. Claim pleaded in excess of £1,000,000.

*M v A (High Court /QBD)* – Acted for the Claimant (a "Protected Party") in relation to clinical negligence claim following a stroke due to air embolism after a nurse incorrectly removed a central line. Claimant suffered severe cognitive and physical disabilities. Settled for capitalised sum of £1.2 million with periodical payments of £440,00 per annum.

*Ministry of Defence v Blythe* [2013] EWHC 1422 – Acted for the Claimant. Provisional damages award in 1990 in respect of asymptomatic pleural plaques. Order provided that ability to return for further award of damages was limited to a period of 20 years. The Claimant had not developed a "trigger" condition but failed to apply to extend the 20-year period before it expired. The Defendant disputed the ability of the Court to extend the 20-year period after expiration. Appeared before the Master who held that under CPR 3.1 and 41.3 the Court had power to extend the period retrospectively. Successful defence of appeal undertaken.

*B v A (High Court / Q.B.D)* – Acted for the Claimant in relation to the death of her husband from mesothelioma. Matter settled for £310,000 3 days before trial.

*J v E (High Court / Q.B.D.)* – Acted for the Claimant in relation to the death of her husband from mesothelioma suffered as a result of asbestos exposure in the course of his employment. Claim complicated by the failure of solicitors previously conducting the claim to take an adequate statement from the deceased. Exposure took place in 1947-1958. Issues in relation to foreseeability/breach of duty. Novel argument in relation to the application of Factories Act 1937 s.47 to the construction of power stations based on the effect of Factories Act 1937 s.107. Claim settled by Defendant a week before trial (led by QC)

*R v E (County Court)* – Acted for the Claimant in a fatal road traffic accident claim. Issues in relation to contributory negligence (seat-belt not being worn – had belt been worn a different potentially fatal injury would have been sustained) and quantum. Claim settled at joint settlement meeting for £260,000

*B v M (High Court / Q.B.D.)* – Acted for the Claimant in living mesothelioma claim. Claim settled for £190,000.

*C v P (High Court / QBD)* – Acted for Claimant – living mesothelioma claim. Listed for 2-day quantum trial. Settled on the evening before trial for £350,000.

*G v R (County Court)* – Acted for the Claimant, a serving police constable, who suffered injury as a volunteer crewman with the R.N.L.I. Issues in relation to loss of earnings and loss of pension based on the likely effect of the “Winsor Review of Police Officers and Staff Remuneration and Conditions”. Claim settled for £240,000 at joint settlement meeting.

*P v K (High Court / Q.B.D)* – Acted for the Claimant who suffered severe multiple injuries in a motorcycle accident. Claim settled at JSM (led by QC) for £1,400,000.

*M v (1) S (2) C (County Court)* – Acted for the Defendant highway authority in 5-day trial in relation to a fatal road traffic accident. Claim based on flooding of the highway – issues in relation to responsibility of the highway authority in relation to drainage of the highway and responsibility of adjacent landowner in respect of water running-off their land and onto the highway.

*R v C (County Court)* – Acted for Defendant. Issues in relation to breach of duty, causation and quantum. Claim pleaded in excess of £150,000. 2-day trial. Oral evidence by medical experts for both parties. The court concluded that the Claimant had not suffered any accident at work and further that as a result of cross-examination the Claimant’s medical expert had been wholly undermined.

*Q v P (County Court)* – Acted for Defendant. Issues in relation to contributory negligence, causation and quantum with allegation that claim was exaggerated. Claim pleaded in excess of £150,000. At the conclusion of a 2-day trial the claim was successfully limited to £45,000 which was below the Defendant’s pre-issue Part 36 Offer.

*B v P (High Court /QBD)* – Employer’s liability claim, acted for Defendant. Claim pleaded in excess of £250,000. Issues in relation to fraud/exaggeration. Robust counter-schedule and detailed Part 35 Questions to the Claimant’s 4 medical experts resulted in the Claimant settling the claim for £40,000.