

James Candlin

Call: 1991
candlin@12kbw.co.uk



AREAS OF EXPERTISE

Personal Injury, Industrial Disease, International & Travel, Clinical Negligence, Product Liability, Health & Safety, Inquests, Fraud, Public Authority Liability, Property, Property Damage, Mediation, Aviation

Specialist personal injury practitioner. Recognised as a leading junior in Chambers & Partners notably for travel related work. However, his experience is broad including product liability, occupational disease, fatal and catastrophic head and bodily injury often in consequence of cycling, motorcycling and motor racing accidents.

He has a science degree and is known for cases which have technical features, product liability, food poisoning, unusual pathogens, and scientific causation arguments as well as clinical negligence claims.

James specialises in health & safety, and is regularly instructed to act in relation to prosecution arising from serious accidents and near misses in industry, freight transport, medical and care institutions. On several occasions James has conducted inquest, criminal and civil proceedings in the same matter.

Away from work James attention is directed at his 4 children, watching and playing sport, keeping bees, making and mending furniture.

Fraud

James has significant experience of questionable RTA claims in which one or more party is engaged in a fraudulent insurance claim, post-accident inception of policy, or staged accident in context of a Credit Hire action. James has experience of applying insurance industry, DVLA, motor engineering and other extraneous evidence and data for the purpose of pleading and proving such cases at trial. In several instances late discontinuance or findings of fact against Claimants or claims management companies has ensued.

Examples of his work include:-

- *Reza Mohammadi v Zurich Plc [2011]* minor accident involving bumper damage to car finding by HHJ Powles QC that Claimant had handed over the damaged car to a claims management company whose personnel or whose agents had further damaged it either inadvertently or for profit.
- *Opoku v Tintas [2012]* low velocity tail end shunt. Minicab driver Claimant had recently had extensive damage after a carjacking just before the material accident. Engineering evidence as to when damage allegedly from material accident had in fact occurred. Engineering evidence eventually insufficient to rebut Claimant's claim of damage during material accident but credit hire radically reduced to reflect reasonable mitigation.

Qualifications & Awards

University of Aberdeen BSc(Hons) Pharmacology

Polytechnic of Central London [now University of Westminster] Diploma in law

London School of Mediation accredited mediator

Appointments & Memberships

Lincoln's Inn

Member Personal Injuries Bar Association [Executive Committee member 2000 to 2016]

Member AVMA